CARNEGIE
ENDOWMENT FOR
INTERNATIONAL PEACE

Adapting to the Crisis: Climate Governance and Adaptation in Egypt

Nadine Wahab

Policy Problem Statement

According to the International Energy Agency, Egypt contributes approximately 0.7 percent of global greenhouse gas emissions, yet faces severe and accelerating climate impacts: water scarcity, food insecurity, coastal erosion along the north coast, salinization in the Nile Delta, extreme heat, and the collapse of livelihoods in fishing and agriculture.

The dominant international climate framework—mitigation-first, COP-centric, channeled through large international intermediaries—ignores Egypt's problem entirely. Egypt does not need an emission reduction lecture. Contributing less than 1 percent of global emissions, it has done relatively little to cause this crisis. It needs adaptation governance: inclusive decisionmaking, local research, emergency preparedness infrastructure, and most importantly finance that actually reaches the local communities that need it. Currently, adaptation financing to developing countries covers only a fraction of what is needed. The UNEP reported a gap of $284–339 billion per year, twelve to fourteen times current flows (UNEP Adaptation Gap Report, 2025).

Key Question

Why is the international climate action architecture failing countries like Egypt and what needs to change?

Adaptation Is Development Work

Climate adaptation cannot be siloed as an environmental issue. Its consequences—displacement, hunger, disease, infrastructure collapse—are indistinguishable from humanitarian emergencies and development failures. Framing adaptation as development work is not rhetorical. It is a funding, governance, and institutional design imperative.

It means climate adaptation belongs in national development plans, social protection systems, and urban planning mandates—not only in environment ministries. It means development donors have a direct stake and responsibility. And it means that communities on the frontlines of climate impact must be treated as rights-holders in development processes, not as beneficiaries of environmental programming.

Egypt Is Not Green

Localization isn't translation. It must begin with understanding the real local context. The word "green" is not a universal descriptor for environmentally friendly. It is a cultural and ecological reference point native to temperate Northern climates. When international climate frameworks default to "green," they are neither speaking the environmental language of Egypt, nor talking about its needs. Green is short for mitigation centered climate action focused on decreasing emissions. A green economy imports a framework and priorities, asking Egypt to translate itself into it. Egypt's climate reality is gold, blue, and brown. Climate governance must start from that reality.

Gold: Desert landscapes. Arid land systems. The dominant ecological reality of Egypt and much of MENA, which is invisible in a climate discourse built around temperate green ecosystems.

Blue: Marine ecosystems. The Red Sea, the Mediterranean, the Nile. Egypt's environmental identity and lifeblood, which is absent from the green economy framing that dominates international climate finance.

Brown: Arid agriculture. Degraded land. The lived environmental reality of Upper Egypt and the Delta are under acute climate stress that green transition frameworks were not designed to address.

Three Lessons From Egyptian Civil Society

Re-framing Climate Advocacy: Environmental issues are easily re-framed into a health crisis, livelihood threat, governance failure, and justice issues, which grounds advocacy in locally

experienced harms rather than abstract international metrics. Two degrees means nothing to the farmer who is experiencing 122F (50C) degree summers. Reframing climate concepts into lived experience resonates with communities and opens up low-resistance government entry points for advocacy. It is a structural critique without ideological polarization.

Civil Society Coordination: In the lead up to Cop 27, over thirty CSOs, initiatives, and SMEs coordinated through loose alignment, deliberately rejecting formal coalition status. They issued joint policy recommendations, coordinated actions at COP27, shared resources, and engaged in peer-to-peer learning. They shared regulatory recommendations, not an identity. This prevents donor-agenda dominance and lowered barriers for under-resourced actors.

Embedding CSOs in Governance: CSOs pursued institutional entry with local and national authorities by building technical expertise, contributing to national strategy, and supporting implementation. Advocacy focused on regulatory architecture such as: enforcement authorities, producer responsibility, mandatory reporting. Shifting from protest to governance design.

Research Gaps

Effective climate policy cannot outpace the evidence base. Egypt urgently requires systematic, publicly accessible research in areas where current knowledge is insufficient to support adaptation planning at scale.

National Infrastructure: It is necessary to quantify the impact of rising temperatures. For example, research is needed on the electricity infrastructure (including load capacity, grid stability, cooling demand, and failure risk) under projected heat scenarios. These studies will be critical for both national energy planning and emergency preparedness.

Food Sovereignty: Climate-driven threats to agricultural production, supply chains, and food access should be mapped, disaggregated by region, crop system, and community vulnerability. Research must center on local production capacity and community access to food systems, not only national supply metrics.

Water Sovereignty: Research should assess projected impacts on Nile water availability, groundwater depletion, coastal salinization, and community-level water access, with specific attention to the Delta and Upper Egypt. This must go beyond national totals to map local water sovereignty and community-level risk.

Urban Infrastructure: Climate stress on urban systems should be evaluated, including heat island effects, flooding risk, building stock resilience, and transport and public health infrastructure. Research should directly inform urban planning standards, emergency response protocols, and green space requirements.

Policy Recommendations

National Government

- Integrate climate adaptation into national development frameworks. Adaptation belongs in national development plans and social protection systems.

- Establish a national climate emergency preparedness framework. Building on Egypt's role in launching the Loss and Damage Fund at COP27, Egypt must develop a domestic climate emergency response system (including early warning, coordinated response protocols, and community-level preparedness plans) that matches its international leadership with institutional reality at home.

- Prioritize energy, food, and water sovereignty in adaptation planning. National adaptation strategy must center community and national sovereignty over essential systems, not only supply security managed through international markets. This means investing in decentralized energy resilience, local food production systems, and water access infrastructure that communities can depend on under climate stress.

- Make participation consequential. Require that community inputs be formally documented, responded to in writing, and demonstrably reflected in policy outcomes with named institutional accountability. Participation disconnected from decisions generates distrust, not legitimacy.

Municipalities and Local Authorities

- Develop local climate emergency preparedness plans. Municipalities are the first line of response when climate emergencies strike. Every local authority should have a documented, resourced, and regularly updated climate emergency plan (covering heat events, flooding, infrastructure failure, and displacement) developed with communities, not delivered to them.

- Mandate green and public spaces as components of urban planning. Urban heat, flooding, and public health impacts are directly mitigated by green infrastructure. Green and public spaces must be requirements in urban planning frameworks (not optional additions) with specific standards for coverage, accessibility, and maintenance. These spaces are climate infrastructure.

- Institutionalize participation in local planning cycles. Embed community participation in standard operating procedures, not ad hoc workshops.

- Establish sustained multi-stakeholder coordination committees. Create recurring meetings, not one-off consultations, among communities, CSOs, and service providers. Sustained dialogue platforms are the foundation of resilience at the local level.

- Partner with CSOs and researchers on local data and monitoring. Local actors generate environmental knowledge that never reaches decisionmaking processes. Formalize these partnerships so that locally produced data shapes local planning.

The International Community

The international climate architecture was built to serve the interests of high-emitting economies. For countries contributing less than 1 percent of global emissions and absorbing severe climate impacts, it frequently functions as an extractive system: it demands alignment with donor priorities, rewards organizations with the administrative sophistication to navigate international bureaucracies, and produces internationally legible outputs that may bear little relationship to local needs. Egypt co-launched the Fund for Responding to Loss and Damage (FRLD). The international community must now ensure that both the Adaptation Fund and FRLD are adequately capitalized and accessible to local actors.

- Stop treating COP as the center of climate governance. COP-centric funding cycles drain local capacity and distort priorities. International processes should be leverage points for local advocacy—not destinations that absorb resources and energy that communities cannot spare.

- Route resources directly to local actors, not through international intermediaries that are better resourced to absorb funding than to deliver adaptation outcomes. Trust-based giving, simplified reporting, and intermediary mechanisms designed specifically to channel resources to community-based organizations must become standard practice.

- Fully fund adaptation. Less than 5 percent of global climate philanthropy goes to adaptation. For a country contributing 0.7 percent of emissions, this is not an oversight—it is a structural injustice. Mitigation and green economy work must not continue to crowd out the adaptation funding that high-vulnerability countries urgently require.

- Capitalize and operationalize the Loss and Damage Fund equitably. The international community must ensure it is adequately resourced, accessible to local and national actors in high-vulnerability, low-emission countries, and not subject to the same administrative capture that has channelled adaptation finance away from the communities it is meant to serve.

- Invest in locally produced, Arabic-language knowledge. Fund Arabic-language research, community-based monitoring, and knowledge translation as essential components of climate planning and governance, not only as communication or public awareness campaigns.

- Recognize and invest in traditional and local knowledge. Traditional and local knowledge is a chronically underutilized resource in climate adaptation. Instead, the international community focuses on green technology and knowledge transfer from donor countries. These solutions are designed for different ecologies, different economies, and different realities. Egypt and the broader region must develop their own technologies, their own methodologies, and their own knowledge systems rooted in local ecological realities.

Philanthropies

- Adopt long-term, trust-based, flexible funding models. Adaptation requires multi-year organizational support. Short-term project cycles that reward outputs over relationships systematically undermine the slow, relational, community-embedded work on which durable adaptation depends.

- Integrate climate adaptation into ongoing development work. Philanthropy that funds only mitigation or green economy initiatives in high-vulnerability, low-emission contexts is not climate justice—it is a continuation of the same injustice. Philanthropies must prioritize adaptation, resilience, and emergency response planning as primary funding categories for the MENA region.

- Fund ecosystem support, not just projects. Invest in the connective tissue—legal support, organizational capacity, shared infrastructure—that allows civil society actors and the larger communities to sustain their work.

- Build and resource a regional climate philanthropy coordination infrastructure. The MENA philanthropy ecosystem is fragmented and siloed. Invest in coordination platforms, pooled funds, and peer-learning networks that allow funders to align, share knowledge, and collectively address gaps.

- Ensure climate justice is a program reality, not a communications strategy. Prioritize communities most affected by climate change over organizations best positioned to absorb funding. Fund advocacy and governance work alongside implementation. Justice must be an organizational principle embedded in funding decisions, not a symbolic commitment appended to program descriptions.

Egypt's communities are bearing a crisis they did not cause. What it does not have is a governance architecture, domestic or international, that matches the scale and urgency of what is coming. The lessons that work exist and are operating right now. They are not releasing press statements, are not well-resourced, and are not scalable because the system is not designed to recognize or resource them. The task is not incremental reform. It is a reorientation of who climate governance serves.